



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/735,621

12/16/2003

Akira Shimizu

829-619

2644

23117 7590 01/31/2007
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

EXAMINER

ARANCIBIA, MAUREEN GRAMAGLIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1763

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,621	SHIMIZU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen G. Arancibia	1763	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen G. Arancibia.

(2) Joseph Rhoa.

Status of Application: After Notice of Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 January 2007
Time: 12:00 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-14, 16-22, 27

Prior art documents discussed:

JP 63-121428; JP 63-38153; JP 63-29926

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


PARVIZ HASSANZADEH
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 Maureen G. Arancibia

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the references submitted with the IDS of 2 November 2006 have been fully considered. Examiner indicated that JP 63-121428 is considered to anticipate Claims 1-3, 7-11, and 27 (see Figures 1 and 3, especially leaf-spring like conductive members 10), and requested that these claims be cancelled. Examiner indicated that Claims 4-6, 12-14, and 16-22 are still considered to be allowable over the art of record, for the reasons already made of record. Examiner indicated that JP 63-38153 was not considered to teach or fairly suggest any of the patentable features of the claims, since in an oral translation of JP 63-38153 by a USPTO Translator, it was determined that the apparatus of Figure 8 is used merely for transporting sheet-like substrates, with no teaching or suggestion of any type of processing of the substrates, of any plasma generation, or of any supporting plate for conductive portions 8, which instead are attached directly to powered member 6. Examiner further indicated that JP 63-29926 was also not considered to teach or fairly suggest any of the patentable features of the claims, at least since conductive portions 17 do not substantially cover an entire space between an electrode and a substrate holder, and since there is no teaching or suggestion of any supporting plate for conductive portions 17. Applicant's representative indicated that he would consult with Applicant regarding the proposed cancellation of Claims 1-3, 7-11, and 27. .